arXiv:math/0511157v1 [math.RA] 7 Nov 2005

A duality theorem for generalized Koszul algebras

Roberto Martínez Villa
Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM, AP 61-3
58089 Morelia, Michoacán
MEXICO
mvilla@matmor.unam.mx

Manuel Saorín
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Murcia, Aptdo. 4021
30100 Espinardo, Murcia
SPAIN
msaorinc@um.es

Abstract

We show that if Λ is a n-Koszul algebra and $E = E(\Lambda)$ is its Yoneda algebra, then there is a full subcategory $\mathcal{L}_E$ of the category Gr_E of graded E-modules, which contains all the graded E-modules presented in even degrees, that embeds fully faithfully in the category $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ of cochain complexes of graded Λ-modules. That extends the known equivalence, for Λ Koszul (i.e. $n = 2$), between Gr_E and the category of linear complexes of graded Λ-modules

1 Introduction

From the classification of coherent sheaves over projective spaces by Bernstein, Gelfand and Gelfand (see [5] or [6]), Koszul algebras have deserved a lot of attention. A systematic treatment of them was given in [1], where the authors showed the existence of an equivalence of categories between large subcategories of the graded derived categories of a Koszul algebra Λ and its (quadratic) dual $\Lambda^!$. They showed in addition that $\Lambda^!$ is also Koszul and canonically isomorphic to the Yoneda algebra $E = E(\Lambda)$ of Λ. Recently (cf. [9]), the authors of

---

[*] The first named author thanks CONACYT for funding the research project. The second one thanks the D.G.I. of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science and the Fundación "Séneca" of Murcia for their financial support

the present paper showed that there is an abelian version of the mentioned equivalences, valid for more general graded algebras. Namely, after defining $A^!$ for an arbitrary positively graded algebra A, we showed that there is an equivalence between the category $Gr_{A!}$ of graded $A!$-modules and the category $\mathcal{LC}_A$ (resp. $\mathcal{LC}_A^*$) of linear complexes of projective (resp. almost injective) graded A-modules. In case A is Koszul, the equivalence of [1] can be obtained from that by derivation.

As a generalization of Koszul algebras, Berger ([2]) introduced n-Koszul algebras, where $n > 1$ is an integer. They form a class of n-homogeneous algebras which includes all Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension ≤ 3 and, in case $n = 2$, coincides with the class of Koszul algebras. If Λ is n-Koszul, then one also has a (n-homogeneous) dual algebra, still denoted $\Lambda^!$, from which the Yoneda algebra $E = E(\Lambda)$ can be obtained by killing supports and appropriate regrading on $\Lambda^!$ (see [7]). However, the existence, in the flavour of [9], of an equivalence of categories between reasonably large subcategories of Gr_E and of the category $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ of cochain complexes of graded Λ-modules is still unknown. The same lack of knowledge can be applied to the existence, in the flavour of [1], of equivalences between reasonably large subcategories of the derived categories of graded modules over E and Λ. Such an equivalence exists, however, in the context of $\mathbf{A}_\propto$-algebras (cf. [8]).

The aim of this paper is to present, for every n-Koszul algebra Λ and each integer m, an equivalence between a full subcategory $\mathcal{L}_E$ of Gr_E containing all the graded E-modules presented in even degrees and a full subcategory $\mathcal{Y}$ (depending on m) of $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ (cf. Corollary 4.6). Since $E = \Lambda^!_\mathcal{U}$ as ungraded algebras, where $\mathcal{U} = n\mathbf{Z} \cup (n\mathbf{Z}+1)$, our strategy consists in showing an equivalence of categories between suitable subcategories of $Gr_{\Lambda^!_\mathcal{U}}$ and $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ (cf. Theorem 4.3), which is valid for any graded algebra with relations of degree $\geq n$ and from which the desired result follows easily. The proof of last theorem is based on some consequences of the results in [9] (see Section 2), on equivalences between subcategories of $Gr_{\Lambda^!}$ and $Gr_{\Lambda^!_\mathcal{U}}$ which were given in [10] for arbitrary group-graded algebras, and on the transport of a torsion theory from $Gr_{\Lambda^!}$ to the category ${}_n\mathcal{LC}_\Lambda$ of linear n-complexes of almost injective graded Λ-modules (see Section 3).

In this paper we borrow the terminology from [9], with some concrete adaptations. So the term **positively graded algebra** will stand for a $\mathbf{Z}$-graded algebra $A = \oplus_{i\in\mathbf{Z}} A_i$ such that $A_i = 0$, for all $i < 0$, A_0 is isomorphic to a direct product of copies of the ground field K and $dim_K A_1 < \propto$. The category of its right (resp. left) graded modules is denoted by Gr_A (resp. ${}_AGr$) and the full subcategory of locally finite graded modules is denoted $lfgr_A$ (resp. ${}_Alfgr$). Unless explicitly said otherwise, 'module' will mean 'right module'. Notice that, in our situation, the graded Jacobson radical of A is $J^{gr}(A) = \oplus_{i>0} A_i$ and an object $T \in Gr_A$ is semisimple if, and only if, $TJ^{gr}(A) = 0$. That allows, in particular, to identify the category Mod_{A_0} with that of semisimple graded A-modules concentrated in degree 0. If $M \in Gr_A$ then the **graded socle** $Soc^{gr}(M)$, which is by definition the largest graded semisimple submodule of M, is given by $Soc^{gr}(M) = \{x \in M : xJ^{gr}(A) = 0\}$. If $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ then we shall say that M is **generated (resp. cogenerated) in degrees belonging to** $\mathcal{X}$ in case every nonzero factor (resp. subobject) of M in Gr_A has a support which intersects $\mathcal{X}$ nontrivially. Also, we shall say that M is **presented in degrees belonging to** $\mathcal{X}$ in case it is the cokernel of a morphism in Gr_A between projective objects generated in degrees belonging to $\mathcal{X}$. Notice that if $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and M is cogenerated in degree j, then $Soc^{gr}(M) = M_j$. Recall also that the **projective graded modules** (i.e. the projective objects of Gr_A) are those in $Add(\oplus_{k\in\mathbf{Z}}A[k])$, while the **almost injective graded modules** are those in $Add(\oplus_{k\in\mathbf{Z}}D(A)[k])$, where $D = \mathcal{H}om_{A_0}(-, A_0) :_A Gr \longrightarrow Gr_A$ is the canonical contravariant functor, which induces by restriction a duality ${}_Alfgr \overset{\cong^o}{\longrightarrow} lfgr_A$ (see [9] for details).

We have a finite quiver Q associated to A, uniquely determined by the existence of isomorphisms $KQ_0 \cong A_0$ and $KQ_1 \cong A_1$ (of K-algebras and KQ_0-KQ_0-bimodules, respectively), together with a structural homomorphism of graded algebras $\pi_A : KQ \longrightarrow A$ whose image is the (graded) subalgebra $\bar{A}$ of A generated by $A_0 \oplus A_1$. When $\bar{A} = A$ (i.e. π_A is surjective), we say that A is a **graded factor of a path algebra**. We shall use the letter Λ instead of A when we want to emphasize that the algebra is a graded factor of a path algebras. All tensors $\otimes$ in the paper are tensor over $KQ_0 = A_0$. In general, if $I = Ker(\pi_A)$ then $I_k = \{x \in I : x \text{ is homogeneous of degree } k\}$ is an $A_0 - A_0-$sub-bimodule of KQ_k, for every $k \geq 0$. We can consider the orthogonal $I_n^{\perp} \subseteq KQ_n^{op}$ (for our fixed $n \geq 2$) with respect to the canonical duality $KQ_n^{op} \otimes KQ_n \longrightarrow KQ_0 = A_0$ (see [9]). The graded algebra $A^! = KQ^{op}/ < I_n^{\perp} >$ will be called the **n-homogeneous dual algebra** of A and we also put ${}^!A = (A^!)^{op}$. If $\Lambda = KQ/I$ is a graded factor of a path algebra, we shall say that it is **n-homogeneous** (resp. **has relations of degree ≥n**) when I is generated by I_n (resp. $\coprod_{k\geq n} I_k$).

2 Graded modules versus n-complexes

In this section we extend the equivalences of [9] from (2-)complexes to n-complexes. Recall that we have a canonical $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$-grading on $A[X]$ by putting $A[X]_{(i,j)} = A_iX^j$, in case $i, j \geq 0$, and $A[X]_{(i,j)} = 0$ otherwise. We refer to that paper to see the interpretation of the objects of $Gr_{A[X]}$ as pairs $(P^\cdot, d^\cdot)$, where $P^\cdot \in Gr_A^{\mathbf{Z}}$ and $d^\cdot : P^\cdot \longrightarrow P^\cdot$ is a morphism in $Gr_A^{\mathbf{Z}}$ of degree $+1$. We then denote by ${}_n\mathcal{LC}_A$ (resp. ${}_n\mathcal{LC}_A^*$) the full subcategory of $Gr_{A[X]}$ consisting of those pair $(P^\cdot, d^\cdot)$ (resp. $(I^\cdot, d^\cdot)$) satisfying the following two conditions:

1. $(P^\cdot, d^\cdot)$ (resp. $(I^\cdot, d^\cdot)$) is a n-complex (i.e. $d^{k+n-1} \circ ... \circ d^{k+1} \circ d^k = 0$, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$)
2. P^k (resp. I^k) is projective and generated in degree $-k$ (resp. almost injective and cogenerated in degree $-k$), for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$

The objects of ${}_n\mathcal{LC}_A$ (resp. ${}_n\mathcal{LC}_A^*$) will be called **linear n-complexes of projective (resp. almost injective)** graded A-modules. We shall denote by ${}_n\mathcal{L}c_A$ (resp. ${}_n\mathcal{L}c_A^*$) the full subcategory of ${}_n\mathcal{LC}_A$ (resp. ${}_n\mathcal{LC}_A^*$) consisting of those $(P^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot})$ (resp. $(I^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot})$) such that P^k (resp. I^k) is finitely generated (resp. finitely cogenerated), for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. We then consider the fully faithful embddings $\Psi_A, \nu_A : {}_{KQ}Gr \longrightarrow Gr_{A[X]}$ given in [9][Theorems 2.4 and 2.10]. We refer to that paper for their explicit definition, which we shall freely use here.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $A = \oplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ be a positively graded algebra with quiver Q and $A^!$ be its n-homogeneous dual. Then $\Psi = \Psi_A : {}_{KQ}Gr \longrightarrow Gr_{A[X]}$ induces by restriction equivalences ${}_{A^!}Gr = Gr_{A^!} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow}_n \mathcal{LC}_A$ and ${}_{A^!}lfgr = lfgr_{A^!} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow}_n \mathcal{L}c_A$.*

Proof. By the proof of [9][Theorem 2.4], we know that Ψ establishes an equivalence of categories ${}_{KQ}Gr \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{LG}_A$, where $\mathcal{LG}_A$ is the full subcategory of $Gr_{A[X]}$ consisting of those objects $(P^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot})$ such that P^k is a projective object of Gr_A generated in degree $-k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. We only need to prove that if $M \in_{KQ} Gr = Gr_{KQ^{op}}$ and $\Psi(M) = (P^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot})$, then $M \cdot I_n^{\perp} = 0$ if, and only if, $(P^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot})$ is a n-complex.

We consider the canonical K-algebra homomorphism $\pi_A : KQ \longrightarrow A$ whose kernel is I. It is convenient in the rest of this proof to view graded left KQ-modules as graded right KQ^{op}-modules. On one hand, $\psi(M)$ is a cochain n-complex iff the composition $M_k \otimes A[k] \stackrel{d^k}{\longrightarrow} M_{k+1} \otimes A[k+1] ... \stackrel{d^{k+n-1}}{\longrightarrow} M_{k+n} \otimes A[k+n]$ is zero, for each fixed $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. But that is equivalent to say that $d^{k+n-1} \circ ... \circ d^k$ vanish on $M_k \cong M_k \otimes A_0$. Direct calculation shows that $(d^{k+n-1} \circ ... \circ d^k)(x) = \sum_{p \in Q_n} xp^o \otimes \bar{p}$, for all $x \in M_k$, where $\bar{p} = \pi_A(p)$. Our goal is to show that this latter sum is zero for all $x \in M_k$ iff $M_k \cdot I_n^{\perp} = 0$. To do that we consider an ordering of $Q_n = \{p_1, ..., p_r, ...p_{r+s}, ...p_{r+s+t}\}$, where i) $\{p_1, ..., p_r\}$ is a basis of KQ_n modulo I; ii) $\{p_{r+1}, ...p_{r+s}\}$ gathers the remaining $p \in Q_n$ which do not belong to I; iii) $\{p_{r+s+1}, ...p_{r+s+t}\}$ gathers the $p \in Q_n$ which belong to I. Since $0 \neq \pi_A(p_j) \in A_1^n \cong \frac{KQ_n}{I_n}$ when $j = r+1, ..., r+s$, we have uniquely determined linear combinations $p_j - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \lambda_{ij} p_i$ belonging to I, for $j = r+1, ..., r+s$, which can be taken with the property that $\lambda_{ij} \neq 0$ implies that p_i and p_j share origin and terminus. Those linear combinations together with the p_j, with $j = r+s+1, ..., r+s+t$, form a basis of I_n. By canonical methods of Linear Algebra, a basis of $I_n^{\perp}$ is then given by the elements of the form $h_i = p_i^o + \lambda_{i,r+1} p_{r+1}^o + ... + \lambda_{i,r+s} p_{r+s}^o$ $(i = 1, ..., r)$. Now we have $(d^{k+n-1} \circ ... \circ d^k)(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r+s+t} xp_i^o \otimes \bar{p}_i = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} xp_i^o \otimes \bar{p}_i + \sum_{r+1 \leq j \leq r+s} xp_j^o \otimes (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \lambda_{ij} \bar{p}_i)$ (notice that, for $r+s+1 \leq i \leq r+s+t$, the summand $xp_i^o \otimes \bar{p}_i$ is zero because $p_i \in I$). We can write the last summatory as $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} x(p_i^o + \sum_{r+1 \leq j \leq r+s} \lambda_{ij} p_j^o) \otimes \bar{p}_i = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} xh_i \otimes \bar{p}_i$. The fact that $\{\bar{p}_i : i = 1, ..., r\}$ is a K-linearly independent subset of A_n easily implies that the last summatory is zero in $M_{k+n} \otimes A_n$ iff $xh_i = 0$ for $i = 1, ..., r$. This is equivalent to say that $M_k \cdot I_n^{\perp} = 0$ and we are done. □

If the above result completes [9][Theorem 2.4], the following one completes [9][Theorem 2.10]:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $A = \oplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ be a locally finite positively graded algebra with quiver Q and $A^!$ be its n-homogeneous dual. Then $\upsilon = \upsilon_A : {}_{KQ}Gr \longrightarrow$*

$Gr_{A[X]}$ induces by restriction equivalences ${}_{A^!}Gr = Gr_{A^!} \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow}_n \mathcal{LC}^*_A$ and ${}_{A^!}lfgr = lfgr_{A^!} \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow}_n \mathcal{L}c^*_A$.

Proof. Let $\pi : KQ \longrightarrow A$ be the canonical homomorphism of graded algebras whose kernel is I. Again, we view the graded left KQ-modules as right KQ^{op}-modules. We need to prove that if $N \in Gr_{KQ^{op}}$ then $\upsilon(N)$ is a cochain n-complex iff $N_k \cdot I_n^{\perp} = 0$, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have that $\upsilon(N)$ is a cochain n-complex iff the composition $\mathcal{H}om_{A_0}(A, N_k)[k] \xrightarrow{d^k} \mathcal{H}om_{A_0}(A, N_{k+1})[k+1]...$ $\xrightarrow{d^{k+n-1}} \mathcal{H}om_{A_0}(A, N_{k+n})[k+n]$ is zero, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, iff its $-(k+n)$-component $Hom_{A_0}(A_n, N_k) \xrightarrow{d} Hom_{A_0}(A_{n-1}, N_{k+1}) \xrightarrow{d} ... \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{H}om_{A_0}(A_0, N_{k+n}) \cong N_{k+n}$ is zero, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. The latter happens iff $\sum_{p \in Q_n} f(\bar{p})p^o = 0$, for all $f \in Hom_{A_0}(A_n, N_k)$, where $\bar{p} = \pi(p)$. Since $A_1^n = A_1 \cdot A_1 ... \cdot A_1$ is a direct summand of A_n in Mod_{A_0} and $\bar{p} \in A_1^n$, for all $p \in Q_n$, we get that $\upsilon(N)$ is a cochain n-complex iff $\sum_{p \in Q_n} f(\bar{p})p^o = 0$, for all $f \in Hom_{A_0}(A_1^n, N_k)$. Now we choose an ordering of $Q_n = \{p_1, ..., p_r, ...p_{r+s}, ...p_{r+s+t}\}$ with the same criterion as in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Then $\{\bar{p}_1, ..., \bar{p}_r\}$ is a basis of A_1^n and we have $\sum_{p \in Q_n} f(\bar{p})p^o = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} f(\bar{p}_i)p_i^o + \sum_{r+1 \leq j \leq r+s} f(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \lambda_{ij}\bar{p}_i)p_j^o = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} f(\bar{p}_i)(p_i^o + \sum_{r+1 \leq j \leq r+s} \lambda_{ij}p_j^o) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} f(\bar{p}_i)h_i$, for every $f \in Hom_{A_0}(A_1^n, N_k)$, with the same terminology of the proof of Proposition 2.1. On the other hand, the A_0-homorphisms $A_1^n \longrightarrow N_k$ of the form $x\bar{p}_i^*(-) : a \longrightarrow x\bar{p}_i^*(a)$, with $i = 1, ..., r$ and $x \in N_k$, generate $Hom_{A_0}(A_1^n, N_k)$ (see [9][Remark 2.1]). But, for $f = x\bar{p}_s^*(-)$, we have $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} f(\bar{p}_i)h_i = xh_s$. Consequently, $\upsilon(N)$ is a n-complex iff $xh_s = 0$, for all $s = 1, .., r$ and $x \in N_k$, that is, iff $N_k \cdot I_n^{\perp} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. That ends the proof. $\square$

The reader is invited to extend to general n-homogeneous algebras results like the equivalence of assertions 1, 2 and 5 in [9][Corollary 3.4] and of assertions 1 and 2 of [9][Corollary 3,5], which were given there for quadratic algebras.

3 Transport of a torsion theory

We know from [10] that if $A = \oplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} A_i$ is a $\mathbf{Z}$-graded algebra and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ is any subset, then $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} = \{M \in Gr_A : M_{\mathcal{S}} = 0\}$ is a hereditary torsion class closed for products in Gr_A. The following is a handy way of identifying its associated torsionfree class.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $A = \oplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ is positively graded, generated in degrees 0,1 and A_0 is semisimple. If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ is not upper bounded then, for a graded A-module $M = \underset{n \in \mathbf{Z}}{\oplus} M_n$, the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *M is $\mathcal{T}$- torsionfree, where $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$*
2. *$Hom_{Gr_A}(A_0[-m], M) = 0$, for all $m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \mathcal{S}$*

Proof. 1) $\Longrightarrow$ 2) is clear

2) $\Longrightarrow$ 1) Notice that every morphism $A_0[-m] \longrightarrow M$ in Gr_A is given by left multiplication by an element $x \in ann_{M_m}(A_1)$. Hence the hypothesis is equivalent to say that $ann_{M_m}(A_1) = 0$, for all $m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Recall that $Supp(t(M)) \subseteq \mathbf{Z} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. If $t(M) \neq 0$ (equivalently, $Supp(t(M)) \neq \emptyset$) and $m \in Supp(t(M))$, then we pick up $x \in t(M)_m \setminus \{0\}$. By assumption $xA_1 \neq 0$ which implies that $t(M)_{m+1} \neq 0$ and, hence, that $m+1 \in Supp(t(M))$. By recurrence we get that the interval $[m, +\propto)$ is contained in $Supp(t(M)) \subseteq \mathbf{Z} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. But that contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{S}$ is not upper bounded.

□

Throughout the rest of the section $\Lambda = \oplus_{i \geq 0} \Lambda_i$ is a graded factor of a path algebra (see section 1). We want to transfer the results of [10] (for $A = \Lambda^!$) from $Gr_{\Lambda^!}$ to ${}_n\mathcal{L}C^*_\Lambda$ and ${}_n\mathcal{L}C_\Lambda$ via υ and Ψ. We refer the reader to [10] for the definition and terminology about ring-supporting subsets and right modular pairs of subsets of a group. Here we shall fix a modular pair $(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) = (m+\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U})$, with $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} [kn, kn+r]$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq 2r \leq n$, with strict inequality $2r < n$ in case $n > 2$. Then, in case $2r = n = 2$, we have $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{U} = \mathbf{Z} = (\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U})$. In any other case, we have $(\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U}) = m + (\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U}) = m + n\mathbf{Z}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $I^{\cdot} = (I^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot}) \in_n \mathcal{L}C^*_\Lambda$ be a linear n-complex of almost injective graded Λ-modules and let $M \in Gr_{\Lambda^!}$ be such that $I^{\cdot} = \upsilon_\Lambda(M)$. The following assertions hold:*

1. *$M \in \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{S}$ if, and only if, $I^j = 0$ for all $j \in \mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} [m+kn, m+kn+r]$*
2. *M is $\mathcal{T}$-torsionfree iff $Hom_{Gr_\Lambda}(D(\Lambda)[j], Ker(d^j)) = 0$ for all $j \notin \mathcal{S}$*
3. *If $0 \leq 2r < n$ then the following conditions are equivalent:*
    - *a) M is generated in degrees belonging to $(\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U}) = m + n\mathbf{Z}$*
    - *b) The differencial $d^{j-1} : I^{j-1} \longrightarrow I^j$ satisfies that $(Imd^{j-1})_{-j} = (I^j)_{-j}$ for all $j \not\equiv m$ (mod n)*
    - *c) $Soc^{gr}(I^j) \subseteq Im(d^{j-1})$, for all $j \not\equiv m$ (mod n).*

Proof. By definition of υ, we have $I^j = \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, M_j)[j]$ and, hence, assertion 1 follows. The equivalence υ takes $\Lambda_0[-j]$ onto the stalk n-complex $D(\Lambda)[j]$ (at the position j). Then, using Lemma 3.1, we have that M is $\mathcal{T}$-torsionfree if, and only if, there are no nonzero morphisms $f^{\cdot} : D(\Lambda)[j] \longrightarrow I^{\cdot}$ in ${}_n\mathcal{L}C^*_\Lambda$, for all $j \notin \mathcal{S}$. But such a morphism is completely determined by the induced morphism in Gr_Λ $f : D(\Lambda)[j] \longrightarrow Ker(d^j)$. Therefore M is $\mathcal{T}$-torsionfree if, and only if, $Hom_{Gr_\Lambda}(D(\Lambda)[j], Ker(d^j)) = 0$, for all $j \notin \mathcal{S}$, which proves assertion 2.

On the other hand, since the algebra $\Lambda^!$ is generated in degrees $0, 1$, it is easy to see that M is generated in degrees belonging to $(\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U}) = m + n\mathbf{Z}$ if, and only if, the multiplication map $M_{j-1} \otimes \Lambda^!_1 \longrightarrow M_j$ is surjective, for all $j \notin m + n\mathbf{Z}$. By using adjunction, that is equivalent to say that the $-j$-component $Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_1, M_{j-1}) \longrightarrow M_j$ of the 'differential' $d^{j-1} : I^{j-1} =$

$\mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, M_{j-1})[j-1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, M_j)[j] = I^j$ is surjective for all $j \not\equiv m$ (mod n). Then the equivalence of conditions a) and b) in 3) follows. The equivalence of b) and c) is clear since $Soc^{gr}(I^j) = (I^j)_{-j}$, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. $\square$

Recall from [10] that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ is the full subcategory of Gr_A with objects those $M \in Gr_A$ which are $\mathcal{T}$-torsionfree and generated in degrees belonging to $(\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U})$. We have the following:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ be the hereditary torsion class in $Gr_{\Lambda^!}$ defined by $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbf{Z}}[m + kn, m + kn + r]$. The following assertions hold:*

1. *$\mathcal{T}^* = \upsilon_\Lambda(\mathcal{T})$ is the hereditary torsion class of ${}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda$ consisting of those $I^\cdot \in_n \mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda$ such that $I^j = 0$, for all $j \in \mathcal{S}$*

2. *The functor $\nu = \nu_\Lambda : Gr_{\Lambda^!} \longrightarrow Gr_{\Lambda[X]}$ induces a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\hookrightarrow"] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & Gr_{\Lambda^!} \arrow[r, "pr."] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & \frac{Gr_{\Lambda^!}}{\mathcal{T}} \arrow[d, "\cong"'] \\
\mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\hookrightarrow"] & {}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda \arrow[r, "pr."'] & \frac{{}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda}{\mathcal{T}^*}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are equivalences of categories and the compositions of horizontal arrows are fully faithful embeddings. Here $\mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) =_n \mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda$, when $2r = n = 2$, and is the full subcategory of ${}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda$ consisting of those $I^\cdot \in_n \mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda$ satisfying conditions a) and b) below, when $0 \leq 2r < n$:

   (a) $Hom_{Gr_\Lambda}(D(\Lambda)[j], Ker(d^j)) = 0$, for all $j \notin \mathcal{S}$

   (b) $Soc^{gr}(I^j) \subseteq Im(d^{j-1})$, for all $j \not\equiv m$ (mod n)

Proof. In case $2r = n = 2$ we have $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) = Gr_A$ and the result follows from [9][Theorem 2.10]. If $0 \leq 2r < n$ then the result follows from Proposition 2.2, Lemma 3.2 and [10][Theorem 2.7]. $\square$

4 From n-complexes to (2-)complexes

In this section we consider the case $r = 1$ of Section 3, i.e., the modular pair is $(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) = (m+\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U})$, where $\mathcal{U} = n\mathbf{Z}\cup(n\mathbf{Z}+1)$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. We want to pass from n-complexes to (2-)complexes via the appropriate contraction. We will consider parallels of the canonical contraction (see, e.g., [3] and [4]). Let $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ be the category of (2-)complexes of graded Λ-modules. The unique strictly increasing function $\delta_m =: \delta_{(\mathcal{S},m)} : \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\delta_m(0) = m$ and $Im(\delta_m) = \mathcal{S}$ is given by $\delta_m(2k) = m+kn$ and $\delta_m(2k+1) = m+kn+1$, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ (cf. [10][Lemma 4.9]). Hence, $\delta_m(j) = m + \delta(j)$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $\delta = \delta_{(\mathcal{U},0)}$ is the map used, for instance, in [7]. We have an obvious additive functor $H = H_m : {}_n\mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^* \longrightarrow C(Gr_\Lambda)$ defined as follows. We take $H(I^\cdot) = \tilde{I}^\cdot$ where $\tilde{I}^k = I^{\delta_m(k)}$, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and, as differentials, $\tilde{d}^{2j} = d^{m+jn} : \tilde{I}^{2j} = I^{m+jn} \longrightarrow I^{m+jn+1} = \tilde{I}^{2j+1}$ and $\tilde{d}^{2j+1} = d^{m+(j+1)n-1} \circ ... \circ d^{m+jn+1} : \tilde{I}^{2j+1} = I^{m+jn+1} \longrightarrow I^{m+(j+1)n} = \tilde{I}^{2j+2}$. The objects in the essential image of H will be called **H-liftable**.

The following observation is trivial, but very useful.

Remark 4.1. *Let $A = \oplus_{i\geq 0} A_i$ be a positively graded algebra generated in degrees $0, 1$ and $(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ as above. If $M, N \in Gr_{A_\mathcal{U}}$ and $f = (f_i : M_i \longrightarrow N_i)_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$ is a family of morphisms in Mod_{A_0}, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *f is a morphism in $Gr_{A_\mathcal{U}}$*
2. *$f(xa) = f(x)a$, for all $x \in M_i$ and $a \in A_1 \cup A_n$, where $i \in Supp(M)$*

Proof. It is a straightforward consequence of the fact that, as an algebra, $A_\mathcal{U}$ is generated by A_0, A_1 and A_n $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *The functor $H = H_m : {}_n\mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^* \longrightarrow C(Gr_\Lambda)$ is an exact functor having the following properties:*

1. *$Ker(H) = \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{S}^* =: \mathcal{T}^*$ and H induces a faithful functor $\frac{{}_n\mathcal{LC}_\Lambda}{\mathcal{T}^*} \longrightarrow C(Gr_\Lambda)$*
2. *The composition $\mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow_n \mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^* \xrightarrow{H} C(Gr_\Lambda)$ is a faithful functor whose essential image consists of those H-liftable complexes $(\tilde{I}^\cdot, \tilde{d}^\cdot)$ satisfying condition a) below, in case $n = 2$, or both conditions a) and b), in case $n > 2$:*
    - *(a) $\tilde{I}^j$ is almost injective and cogenerated in degree $-\delta_m(j)$, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$*
    - *(b) $Soc^{gr}(\tilde{I}^{2j+1}) \subseteq Im(\tilde{d}^{2j})$, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$*
3. *When Λ has relations of degree $\geq n$, the composition $\mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow_n \mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^* \xrightarrow{H} C(Gr_\Lambda)$ is also full*

Proof. If $n = 2$ then $\mathcal{T}^* = 0$, $\mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^*$ and H is the composition $\mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^* \hookrightarrow C(Gr_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{?[m]} C(Gr_\Lambda)$, where $?[m]$ is the canonical shifting of $(2-)$complexes. Then the functor H is a fully faithful embedding and the three assertions trivially hold in this case.

We assume in the rest of the proof that $n > 2$. The exactness of H and the fact that $Ker(H) = \mathcal{T}^*$ are clear. In order to prove property 1, we consider a morphism $f^\cdot : I^\cdot \longrightarrow J^\cdot$ in ${}_n\mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^*$ and will prove that $H(f^\cdot) = 0$ iff $f^\cdot$ is the zero morphism in the quotient category $\frac{{}_n\mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^*}{\mathcal{T}^*}$. To see that, using the equivalence of categories $v = v_\Lambda : Gr_{\Lambda^!} \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow}_n \mathcal{LC}_\Lambda^*$ (cf. Proposition 2.2), we have uniquely determined objects M, N and morphism $g : M \longrightarrow N$ in $Gr_{\Lambda^!}$ such that $I^\cdot = v(M)$, $J^\cdot = v(N)$ and $f^\cdot = v(g)$. One readily sees that $H(f^\cdot) = 0$ iff the functor $(-)_\mathcal{S} : Gr_{\Lambda^!} \longrightarrow Gr_K$ maps g onto zero. But, by [10][Proposition 2.1], that happens iff g is the zero morphism in the quotient category $\frac{Gr_{\Lambda^!}}{\mathcal{T}}$, where $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$. But, by Proposition 3.3, we have that $g = 0$ in $\frac{Gr_{\Lambda^!}}{\mathcal{T}}$ iff $f = 0$ in $\frac{{}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda}{\mathcal{T}^*}$.

On the other hand, also by Proposition 3.3, the composition $\mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow {}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_A \overset{pr}{\twoheadrightarrow} \frac{{}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda}{\mathcal{T}^*}$ is fully faithful. That together with the above paragraph give that the composition $\mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow_n \mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda \xrightarrow{H} C(Gr_\Lambda)$ is a faithful functor. By definition of $\mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$, we readily see that if $(\tilde{I}^\cdot, \tilde{d}^\cdot)$ is the image of $(I^\cdot, d^\cdot) \in \mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ by H, then $(Im(\tilde{d}^{2j}))_{-m-jn-1} = (\tilde{I}^{2j+1})_{-m-jn-1}$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (i.e. $Soc^{gr}(\tilde{I}^{2j+1}) \subseteq Im(\tilde{d}^{2j})$). Conversely, suppose that $(\tilde{I}^\cdot, \tilde{d}^\cdot)$ is an H-liftable (2-)complex satifying conditions a) and b) of the statement of the proposition. We first choose $J^\cdot \in_n \mathcal{LC}_\Lambda$ such that $H(J^\cdot) = \tilde{I}^\cdot$. Then we have a unique $M \in Gr_{\Lambda^!}$ such that $\upsilon(M) = J^\cdot$. Now condition b) translates into the fact that $(Im(d^{m+jn}))_{-m-jn-1} = (J^{m+jn+1})_{-m-jn-1}$, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (here $d^\cdot$ is the 'differential' of the n-complex $J^\cdot$). Bearing in mind [9][Lemma 2.9] and the definition of ν, that means that the multiplication map $M_{m+jn} \otimes \Lambda^!_1 \longrightarrow M_{m+jn+1}$ is surjective, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. From that we get that if M' is the graded $\Lambda^!$-submodule of M generated by $M_{m+n\mathbf{Z}}$, then $Supp(\frac{M}{M'}) \subseteq \mathbf{Z} \setminus \mathcal{S}$, so that $\frac{M}{M'} \in \mathcal{T}$ and, hence, $M \cong M' \cong \frac{M'}{t(M')}$ in $Gr_{\Lambda^!}/\mathcal{T}$. Then $J^\cdot = \upsilon(M) \cong \upsilon(\frac{M'}{t(M')})$ in $\frac{{}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda}{\mathcal{T}^*}$. We take $I^\cdot = \upsilon(\frac{M'}{t(M')})$ and have that $H(I^\cdot) = \tilde{I}^\cdot$. Since $\frac{M'}{t(M')} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$, we conclude that $I^\cdot \in \mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ as desired.

We next prove assertion 3 assuming that the relations for Λ have degree $\geq n$. Take $I^\cdot, J^\cdot \in \mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$. Then we have uniquely determined $M, N \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ such that $\upsilon(M) = I^\cdot$ and $\upsilon(N) = J^\cdot$. Let $f : H(I^\cdot) \longrightarrow H(J^\cdot)$ be a morphism in $C(Gr_\Lambda)$. Then we get morphisms $f^s : I^s = \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, M_s)[s] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, N_s)[s] = J^s$ in Gr_Λ, for every $s \in \mathcal{S} = (m + n\mathbf{Z}) \cup (m + 1 + n\mathbf{Z})$, making commute the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ldots I^{m+(k-1)n+1} \arrow[r, "d^{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & I^{m+kn} \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & I^{m+kn+1} \ldots \arrow[d, "f"'] \\
\ldots J^{m+(k-1)n+1} \arrow[r, "d^{n-1}"'] & J^{m+kn} \arrow[r, "d"'] & J^{m+kn+1} \ldots
\end{tikzcd}$$

where d^{n-1} means the composition of $n-1$ consecutive 'differentials' of $I^\cdot$. By [9][Lemma 2.8], that gives uniquely determined morphisms in Mod_{Λ_0} $g_s : M_s \longrightarrow N_s$, for every $s \in (m+n\mathbf{Z}) \cup (m+1+n\mathbf{Z})$, such that $f^s = \mathcal{H}om(A, g_s)[s]$. The commutativity of the above diagram translates into the commutativity of the following diagrams, for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_{n-1}, M_{m+(k-1)n+1}) \arrow[r, "d^{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "g_*"'] & M_{m+kn} \arrow[d, "g"'] \\
Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_{n-1}, N_{m+(k-1)n+1}) \arrow[r, "d^{n-1}"'] & N_{m+kn}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_1, M_{m+kn}) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "g_*"'] & M_{m+kn+1} \arrow[d, "g"'] \\
Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_1, M_{m+kn}) \arrow[r, "d"'] & M_{m+kn+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $d^{n-1}(h) = \sum_{p \in Q_{n-1}} h(p)p^o$ and $d(h) = \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} h(\alpha)\alpha^o$, respectively. Now we consider the obvious adaptation of [9][Lemma 2.9], which is also true replacing Q_1 by Q_{n-1} due to the fact that $\Lambda^!_i = KQ_i^{op}$ for $0 \leq i < n$. Then the commutativity of the last two diagrams is equivalent to say that $g(xa) = g(x)a$ whenever $a \in \Lambda^!_1 \cup \Lambda^!_{n-1}$ and both $deg(x)$ and $deg(xa)$ belong to $\mathcal{S} = (m + n\mathbf{Z}) \cup (m + 1 + n\mathbf{Z})$. If now $a \in \Lambda^!_n$ and $x \in M_{m+kn}$, then we have $a = \sum_i \alpha_i\beta_i$, where $\alpha_i \in \Lambda^!_1 = KQ_1^{op}$ and $\beta_i \in \Lambda^!_{n-1} = KQ^{op}_{n-1}$. Then $g(xa) = \sum_i g(x(\alpha_i\beta_i)) = \sum_i g((x\alpha_i)\beta_i)$. Now $deg(x\alpha_i) = m + kn + 1 \in \mathcal{S}$ and the upper diagram above gives $\sum_i g((x\alpha_i)\beta_i) = \sum_i g(x\alpha_i)\beta_i$, while the lower diagram gives that the latter expression equals $\sum_i (g(x)\alpha_i)\beta_i) = \sum_i g(x)(\alpha_i\beta_i) = g(x)\sum_i \alpha_i\beta_i = g(x)a$, using the associative property of the A-module N. One can proceed in an analogous way when $x \in M_{m+kn+1}$ and $a \in \Lambda^!_n$, but taking a decomposition $a = \sum_i \beta_i\gamma_i$, where $\beta_i \in \Lambda^!_{n-1} = KQ^{op}_{n-1}$ and $\gamma_i \in \Lambda^!_1 = KQ^{op}_1$. According to Remark 4.1, we conclude that $g : M_{\mathcal{S}} \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a morphism in $Gr_{A_{\mathcal{U}}}$. Since, by definition, both $M_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $N_{\mathcal{S}}$ are liftable with respect to $(-)_{\mathcal{S}}$ and generated in degrees belonging to $(\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U})$, Theorem 2.7 of [10] tells us that there exists a unique morphism $\eta : M \longrightarrow N$ in $Gr_{\Lambda^!}$ such that $\eta_{\mathcal{S}} = g$. It is now a mere routine to check that $\bar{f} = \upsilon(\eta) : I^{\cdot} \longrightarrow J^{\cdot}$ is a morphism in ${}_n\mathcal{LC}^*_{\Lambda}$ such that $H(\bar{f}) = f$.

$\square$

We can now put together all the pieces of the puzzle. Recall from [10] that if $X \in Gr_{\Lambda^!_{\mathcal{U}}}$, with $Supp(X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, and $\mu_{m+kn,1} : X_{m+kn} \otimes \Lambda^!_1 \longrightarrow X_{m+kn+1}$ and $\mu_{m+kn,1n} : X_{m+kn} \otimes \Lambda^!_n \longrightarrow X_{m+(k+1)n}$ are the multiplication maps, then, working within the right $\Lambda^!$-module $X_{m+n\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \Lambda^!$, it makes sense to consider $Ker(\mu_{m+kn,1})\Lambda^!_{n-1}$, which is a Λ_0-submodule of $X_{m+kn} \otimes \Lambda^!_n$. We now have:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer and consider the subsets $\mathcal{U} = n\mathbf{Z} \cup (n\mathbf{Z}+1)$ and $\mathcal{S} = m + \mathcal{U}$, where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let us assume that Λ is a graded factor of a path algebra with relations of degree $\geq n$. There is an equivalence between the following categories:*

1. *The full subcategory $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ of $Gr_{\Lambda^!_{\mathcal{U}}}$ with objects those X which are generated in degrees belonging to $(\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U})$ and satisfying that $Ker(\mu_{m+kn,1})\Lambda^!_{n-1} \subseteq Ker(\mu_{m+kn,n})$, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$*
2. *The full subcategory $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ of $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ whose objects are the H_m-liftable (2-)complexes $(\tilde{I}^{\cdot}, \tilde{d}^{\cdot})$ satisfying contition a) below, in case $n = 2$, and both conditions a) and b), in case $n > 2$:*
    - *(a) $\tilde{I}^j$ is almost injective and cogenerated in degree $-\delta_m(j)$, for every $j \in \mathbf{Z}$*
    - *(b) $Soc^{gr}(\tilde{I}^{2j+1}) \subseteq Im(\tilde{d}^{2j})$, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$*

Moreover, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ contains all the graded $A_{\mathcal{U}}$-modules presented in degrees belonging to $(\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U})$.

Proof. In case $n = 2$ everything is trivial, and is actually a shifting version of the equivalence $Gr_{\Lambda^!} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda$ of [9][Theorem 2.10]. Indeed instead of the functor $\nu = \nu_\Lambda$ there given, ours here is the composition $Gr_{\Lambda^!} \xrightarrow{\nu} C(Gr_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{?[m]} C(Gr_\Lambda)$.

For the case $n > 2$, notice that $(\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U}) = m + n\mathbf{Z}$. From Proposition 3.3, Proposition 4.2 and [10][Theorem 2.7] we get the following diagram, where all the thick arrows are equivalences of categories:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \arrow[r, "\upsilon_\Lambda", "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "(-)_{\mathcal{S}}"', "\cong"] & \mathcal{G}^*(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \arrow[d, "\cong"', "H"] \\
\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\rightsquigarrow"] & \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the squig arrow making commute the diagram is also an equivalence. □

Remark 4.4. *1) We make explicit, in case $n > 2$, the definition of the equivalence $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$. Assume that $\Lambda = \frac{KQ}{I}$, where I is generated by $\rho \subseteq \coprod_{i \geq n} KQ_i$. Then $\Lambda^! = \frac{KQ^{op}}{<I_n^{\perp}>}$. If $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$, then we have a morphism of Λ_0-modules $\xi = \xi_k : X_{m+kn+1} \otimes \Lambda^!_{n-1} = X_{m+kn+1} \otimes KQ^{op}_{n-1} \longrightarrow X_{m+(k+1)n}$ defined as follows. Any element of $X_{m+kn+1} \otimes KQ^{op}_{n-1}$ can be written as $\sum_{p \in Q_{n-1}} x_p \otimes p^o$, for uniquely determined $x_p \in X_{m+kn+1}e_{t(p)}$, where e_i denotes the idempotent of KQ_0 corresponding to the vertex $i \in Q_0$. Since $X_{m+kn+1} = X_{m+kn}\Lambda^!_1 = X_{m+kn}KQ^{op}_1$, we can write x_p as $x_p = \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1, o(\alpha)=t(p)} x_{\alpha,p}\alpha^o$. Then we put*

$\xi(\sum_{p\in Q_{n-1}} x_p \otimes p^o) = \sum_{\alpha,p} x_{\alpha,p}(\alpha^o p^o) = \sum_{\alpha,p} x_{\alpha,p}(p\alpha)^o$. *That* ξ *is well-defined follows from the inclusions* $Ker(\mu_{m+kn,1})\Lambda^!_{n-1} \subseteq Ker(\mu_{m+kn,n})$ *given by the theorem. We put then* $\tilde{I}^{2j} = \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, X_{m+jn})[m+jn]$ *and* $\tilde{I}^{2j+1} = \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, X_{m+jn+1})[m+jn+1]$, *for all* $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. *The differential* $\tilde{d}^{2j}$ *is completely identified by the map* $Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_1, X_{m+jn}) \longrightarrow X_{m+jn+1}$ *which takes* $f \rightsquigarrow \sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} f(\alpha)\alpha^o$ *and the differential* $\tilde{d}^{2j+1} : \tilde{I}^{2j+1} = Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, X_{m+jn+1})[m+jn+1] \longrightarrow Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, X_{m+(j+1)n})[m+(j+1)n] = \tilde{I}^{2j+2}$ *is completely identified by the map* $Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_{n-1}, X_{m+jn+1}) = Hom_{\Lambda_0}(KQ_{n-1}, X_{m+jn+1}) \longrightarrow X_{m+(j+1)n}$ *which takes* $f \rightsquigarrow \sum_{p\in Q_{n-1}} \xi(f(p)\otimes p^o)$. *It is a mere routine to check that the equivalence given by last theorem takes* X *onto the here defined* $(\tilde{I}^{\cdot}, \tilde{d}^{\cdot})$.

2) If, instead of taking the functor $\nu = \nu_\Lambda$ *of Proposition 2.2 as basis of our arguments, one takes the functor* $\Psi = \Psi_\Lambda$ *of Proposition 2.1, then one gets results dual to those in sections 3 and 4. In the case of locally finite graded modules, we can alternatively see that by using the canonical duality* D. *Indeed, we have* $D(N \otimes \Lambda) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, N)$, *for all* $N \in mod_{\Lambda_0}$, *and then the commutativity of the following diagram follows, where* υ_Λ *is taken for graded left* $\Lambda^!$*-modules:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}_{\Lambda^!}lfgr \arrow[r, "\upsilon_\Lambda", "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "D"', "\cong^o"] & {}_n\mathcal{L}c^*_{\Lambda^{op}} \arrow[d, "\cong^o"', "D"] \\
lfgr_{\Lambda^!} \arrow[r, "\cong", "\Psi_\Lambda"'] & {}_n\mathcal{L}c_\Lambda
\end{tikzcd}$$

We make explicit, without proof, the dual of Theorem 4.3, leaving the rest for the reader.

We have a canonical additive functor $G = G_m :_n \mathcal{L}C_\Lambda \longrightarrow C(Gr_\Lambda)$ defined as follows. If $(P^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot}) \in_n \mathcal{L}C_\Lambda$ then its image by G is $(\tilde{P}^{\cdot}, \tilde{d}^{\cdot})$, where $\tilde{P}^j = P^{-\delta_m(-j)}$ and the differentials are $\tilde{d}^{2j-1} = d^{-m+jn-1} : \tilde{P}^{2j-1} = P^{-m+jn-1} \longrightarrow P^{-m+jn} = \tilde{P}^{2j}$ and $\tilde{d}^{2j} = d^{-m+(j+1)n-2} \circ ... \circ d^{-m+nj} : \tilde{P}^{2j} = P^{-m+jn} \longrightarrow P^{-m+(j+1)n-1} = \tilde{P}^{2j+1}$, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. To state the desired dual, for every $X \in Gr_{\Lambda^!_{\mathcal{U}}}$ with $Supp(X) \subseteq -\mathcal{S} = (-m+n\mathbf{Z}) \cup (-m-1+n\mathbf{Z})$, we consider the comultiplications $\Delta_{s,u} : X_{-s-u} \longrightarrow X_{-s} \otimes KQ_u$, for all $(s,u) \in (\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$, such that $s+u \in \mathcal{S}$ and $u \geq 0$. By definition, one has $\Delta_{s,u}(x) = \sum_{p\in Q_u} x\bar{p}^o \otimes p$, where $\bar{p}^o$ is the image of p^o by the canonical projection $KQ^{op}_u \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda^!_u$. We are now ready to state the dual of last theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let* $n \geq 2$ *be a positive integer and and consider the subsets* $\mathcal{U} = n\mathbf{Z} \cup (n\mathbf{Z}+1)$ *and* $\mathcal{S} = m + \mathcal{U}$, *where* $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. *Let us assume that* Λ *is a graded factor of a path algebra with relations of degree* $\geq n$. *There is an equivalence between the following categories:*

1. *The full subcategory* $\mathcal{L}^o(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ *of* $Gr_{\Lambda^!_{\mathcal{U}}}$ *with objects those* X *which are*

cogenerated in degrees belonging to $-(\mathcal{S}:\mathcal{U})$ *and satisfy that the following diagram in* Mod_{Λ_0} *can be completed for all* $k \in \mathbf{Z}$*:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_{-m-(k+1)n} \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d] & X_{-m-kn} \otimes KQ_n \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
X_{-m-kn-1} \otimes KQ_{n-1} \arrow[r, "\Delta \otimes 1"'] & X_{-m-kn} \otimes KQ_1 \otimes KQ_{n-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

2. *The full subcategory* $Y^o(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ *of* $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ *whose objects are the* G_m*-liftable (2-)complexes* $(\tilde{P}^{\cdot}, \tilde{d}^{\cdot})$ *satisfying condition a) below, in case* $n = 2$*, and conditions a) and b), in case* $n > 2$*:*
   - *(a)* $\tilde{P}^j$ *is a projective graded* Λ*-module generated in degree* $\delta_m(-j)$*, for every* $j \in \mathbf{Z}$
   - *(b)* $(Ker\tilde{d}^{2k-1}) \subseteq \tilde{P}^{2k-1} \cdot J^{gr}(\Lambda)$*, for all* $k \in \mathbf{Z}$

In case Λ is a n-Koszul algebra with Yoneda algebra $E = E(\Lambda)$ we know from [7][Theorem 9.1] (see also [4][Proposition 3.1]) that there is an algebra isomorphism $\varphi : E \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda^!_{\mathcal{U}}$, which we fix from now on, such that $\varphi(E_j) = \Lambda^!_{\delta(j)}$, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. We see it as an identification and, abusing of notation, we write $E_j = \Lambda^!_{\delta(j)}$, for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Hence, if $V \in Gr_E$, we have multiplication maps $\tilde{\mu}_{2k,1} : V_{2k} \otimes E_1 = V_{2k} \otimes \Lambda^!_1 \longrightarrow V_{2k+1}$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{2k,2} : V_{2k} \otimes E_2 = V_{2k} \otimes \Lambda^!_n \longrightarrow V_{2k+2}$ and we can take $Ker(\tilde{\mu}_{2k,1})\Lambda^!_{n-1}$, which is an $\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_0-$sub-bimodule of $V_{2k} \otimes \Lambda^!_n = V_{2k} \otimes E_2$. We then have the following consequence of Theorem 4.3:

Corollary 4.6. *Let* $n \geq 2$ *be a positive integer and consider the subsets* $\mathcal{U} = n\mathbf{Z} \cup (n\mathbf{Z} + 1)$ *and* $\mathcal{S} = m + \mathcal{U}$*, where* $m \in \mathbf{Z}$*. If* $\Lambda = \oplus_{i \geq 0} \Lambda_i$ *is a* n*-Koszul algebra and* E *is its Yoneda algebra, then there is an equivalence between:*

1. *The full subcategory* $\mathcal{L}_E$ *of* Gr_E*, which, in case* $n = 2$*, coincides with* Gr_E *and, in case* $n > 2$*, has as objects those* $V \in Gr_E$ *which are generated in even degrees and satisfy that* $Ker(\tilde{\mu}_{2k,1})\Lambda^!_{n-1} \subseteq Ker(\tilde{\mu}_{2k,2})$*, for all* $k \in \mathbf{Z}$
2. *The full subcategory* $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ *of* $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ *defined in Theorem 4.3*

Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_E$ *contains all the graded* E*-modules presented in even degrees.*

Proof. In case $n = 2$, one has $E = \Lambda^!$, $\mathcal{L}_E = Gr_E$ and $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ is the full subcategory of $C(Gr_\Lambda)$ consisting of those complexes $(\tilde{I}^{\cdot}, \tilde{d}^{\cdot})$ such that $\tilde{I}^j$ is almost injective and cogenerated in degree $-m - j$, for every $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. The desired equivalence of categories is then the composition of the equivalences $\nu_\Lambda : Gr_E = Gr_{\Lambda^!} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda$ and $?[m] : \mathcal{LC}^*_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$.

We next assume $n > 2$. Then, from [10][Theorem 2.7, Corollary 4.10 and Remark 4.11] we get equivalences of categories $\mathcal{L}_E \cong \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \cong \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$ and, using Theorem 4.3, also an equivalence $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U}) \cong \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{U})$.

□

Example 4.7. *Let us take a positive integer* $n > 2$ *and consider the truncated algebra* $\Lambda = KQ/ < Q_n >$*, so that* Λ *is n-Koszul and* $\Lambda^! = KQ^{op}$*. We give the equivalence of last corollary when* $m = 0$ *(i.e.* $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{U} = n\mathbf{Z} \cup (n\mathbf{Z} + 1)$*). Then, as ungraded algebras,* $E = \Lambda^!_{\mathcal{U}} = (KQ^{op})_{\mathcal{U}}$*. The classical grading of* E *is given by assigning degree 1 to the* $\alpha^o \in Q_1^o$ *and degree 2 to the* $p^o \in Q_n^o$*. Then the subcategory* $\mathcal{L}_E$ *consists of those* $V \in Gr_E$ *which are generated in even degrees and satisfy that if* $\sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} x_\alpha \alpha^o = 0$*, for a family of elements* (x_α) *in* V_{2j}*, then* $\sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} x_\alpha(\alpha^o q^o) = 0$ *for all* $q \in Q_{n-1}$*. Using Remark 4.4(1)we then get that the equivalence* $\mathcal{L}_E \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U})$ *maps* V *onto the cochain complex* $(\tilde{I}^{\cdot}, \tilde{d}^{\cdot})$ *defined as follows: i)* $\tilde{I}^{2j} = \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, V_{2j})[jn]$ *and* $\tilde{I}^{2j+1} = \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, V_{2j+1})[jn + 1]$*, for all* $j \in \mathbf{Z}$*; ii) the differentials* $\tilde{I}^{2j} = \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, V_{2j})[jn] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, V_{2j+1})[jn + 1] = \tilde{I}^{2j+1}$ *and* $\tilde{I}^{2j+1} = \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, V_{2j+1})[jn + 1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda, V_{2j+2})[(j + 1)n] = \tilde{I}^{2j+2}$ *are defined by the formulas* $\tilde{d}(f)(a) = \sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} f(a\alpha)\alpha^o$ *and* $\tilde{d}(g)(a) = \sum_{p\in Q_{n-1}} g(ap) \cdot p^o$*, for all* $a \in \Lambda_i$*,* $f \in Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_{i+1}, V_{2j})$ *and* $g \in Hom_{\Lambda_0}(\Lambda_{i+n-1}, V_{2j+1})$*. The last multiplication* $\cdot : V_{2j+1} \otimes \Lambda^!_{n-1} \longrightarrow V_{2j+2}$ *is given by* $(\sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} x_\alpha\alpha^o) \cdot p^o = \sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} x_\alpha(\alpha^o p^o) = \sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} x_\alpha(p\alpha)^o$*, for all* $p \in Q_{n-1}$ *and all* $x = \sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} x_\alpha\alpha^o \in X_{2j+1}$*, where the* x_α *belong to* X_{2j}*.*

If $M \in lfgr_\Lambda$ we shall say that M is n-**coKoszul** when it is cogenerated in degree 0 and its minimal (almost) injective graded resolution $\tilde{I}^{\cdot}_M$ satisfies that $\tilde{I}^j$ is cogenerated in degree $-\delta(j)$, for every $j \geq 0$, where $\delta = \delta_0$. In particular, $\tilde{I}^{\cdot}_M$ satisfies conditions a) and b) of Theorem 4.3 for $m = 0$. It is easy to see that the assigment $M \rightsquigarrow \tilde{I}^{\cdot}_M$ yields a fully faithful embedding of the category $\mathcal{K}^o_n(\Lambda)$ of n-coKoszul Λ-modules into $C(lfgr_\Lambda)$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}_n(\Lambda)$ the full subcategory of ${}_\Lambda lfgr$ formed by the n-Koszul modules. It would be interesting to have an answer to the following question:

Question and Remark 4.8. *Let* Λ *be a n-Koszul algebra* $(n > 2)$*. Which are the n-co-Koszul modules* M *such that* $\tilde{I}^{\cdot}_M$ *is* H_0*-liftable (and, hence, belongs to* $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U})$*)?. If we denote the corresponding full subcategory of* $lfgr_\Lambda$ *by* $\mathcal{K}^o_H(\Lambda)$*, then its image by the canonical duality* $D : lfgr_\Lambda \longrightarrow_\Lambda lfgr$*, which we denote* $\mathcal{K}_G(\Lambda)$*, consists of those (locally finite) n-Koszul modules whose minimal graded projective resolution is* G_0*-liftable (see Theorem 4.5). Now, going backward in Corollary 4.6, we get a contravariant fully faithful embedding* $\mathcal{K}_G(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong^o} \mathcal{K}^o_H(\Lambda) \rightarrowtail \mathcal{L}_E \hookrightarrow Gr_E$ *which takes indecomposable projective graded* Λ*-modules onto simple graded* E*-modules and simple graded* Λ*-modules onto indecomposable projective graded* E*-modules. The last assertion follows from [4][Section 3], where the authors prove that the minimal projective resolution of* Λ_0 *in* ${}_\Lambda Gr$ *is obtained by contraction of the Koszul n-complex, and is thereby G-liftable.*

References

[1] BEILINSON, A.; GINZBURG, V.; SOERGEL, W.: Koszul duality patterns in representation theory. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9**(2) (1996), 473-526

[2] BERGER, R.: Koszulity for nonquadratic algebras. J. Algebra **239** (2001), 705-734

[3] BERGER, R.; DUBOIS-VIOLETTE, M.; WAMBST, M.: Homogeneous algebras. J. Algebra **261** (2003), 172-185

[4] BERGER, R.; MARCONNET, N.: Koszul and Gorenstein properties for homogeneous algebras. Preprint, arXiv: math.QA/0310070 v2

[5] BERNSTEIN, J.; GELFAND, S.: Algebraic vector bundles and projective spaces. Appendix to Russian translation of M. Schneider, 'Holomorphic vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}^n$'. Sem. Bourbaki **530** (1980), 80-102

[6] GELFAND, S.I.; MANIN, Y.I.: "Methods of homological algebra". Springer-Verlag (1996)

[7] GREEN, E.L.; MARCOS, E.N.; MARTINEZ-VILLA, R.; ZHANG, P.: D-Koszul algebras. J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **193** (2004), 359-378

[8] KELLER, B.: Introduction to $\mathbf{A}_\infty$-algebras and modules. Homology, Homotopy and Appl. **3** (2001), 1-35

[9] MARTINEZ VILLA, R.; SAORIN, M.: Koszul equivalences and dualities. Pacific J. Math. **204**(2) (2004), 359-378

[10] MARTINEZ VILLA, R.; SAORIN, M.: Killing of supports on graded algebras. Preprint

[11] NASTASESCU, C.; VAN OYSTAEYEN, F.: "Graded Ring Theory". North-Holland (1982)